1		STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE
2		PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
3		
4	21 South Fru	2022 - 9:01 a.m. it Street
5	Suite 10 Concord, NH	
6		
7	RE:	DW 22-070
8		PENNICHUCK WATER WORKS, INC.: Petition for Approval of a Special
9		Contract with the Town of Milford. (Prehearing conference)
10		
11	PRESENT:	Cmsr. Carleton B. Simpson, Presiding Cmsr. Pradip K. Chattopadhyay
12		Lynn H. Fabrizio, Esq./PUC Legal Advisor
13		Tracey Russo, Clerk
14		
15	APPEARANCES:	Reptg. Pennichuck Water Works, Inc.: Marcia A. Brown, Esq. (NH Brown Law)
16		Larry Goodhue Donald Ware
17		George Torres Jamie Soucy <i>(Town of Milford)</i>
18		Reptg. New Hampshire Dept. of Energy:
19		Suzanne G. Amidon, Esq.
20		Jayson Laflamme, Dir./Water Group David Goyette, Water Group
21		(Regulatory Support Division)
22		
23	Court Rep	porter: Steven E. Patnaude, LCR No. 52
24		

1		
2	INDEX	
3		PAGE NO.
4	SUMMARY OF THE DOCKET BY CMSR. SIMPSON	5
5	QUESTIONS BY:	
6	Cmsr. Chattopadhyay	6, 9
7	Cmsr. Simpson	8
8	STATEMENTS BY:	
9	Ms. Amidon	9
10	Ms. Brown	11
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

PROCEEDING

2.

1.3

2.1

2.2

CMSR. SIMPSON: On the record. So, good morning, everyone. I'm Commissioner
Simpson. I'll be presiding today, because
Chairman Goldner is unavailable. I'm joined by
Commissioner Chattopadhyay.

We're here this morning in Docket DW

22-070 for a prehearing conference regarding the

Petition filed by Pennichuck Water Works on

October 21st, 2022 for approval of a special

contract with the Town of Milford for the

wholesale supply of water. The Notice of

Adjudicative Proceeding, issued on November 14th,

2022, acknowledged that the issues raised by this

Petition include whether special circumstances

exist to support Commission approval of the

proposed new special contract between PWW and

Milford as just and consistent with the public

interest under RSA 378:18.

We hope that this prehearing conference will help move matters forward, particularly with respect to the assessment of the special circumstances and need for a special contract, as well as any additional issues that may arise in

1 the review of the Company's filings. 2. So, let's take appearances. 3 recognize the Company. 4 MS. BROWN: Good morning, 5 Commissioners. My name is Marcia Brown, with NH 6 Brown Law, representing Pennichuck Water Works. 7 With me today from the Company is Larry Goodhue, who is the Chief Executive Officer of Pennichuck, 8 as well as the Chief Financial Officer until the 9 10 end of the year; to his right is Don Ware, who is 11 the Chief Operating Officer; behind me is Jamie 12 Soucy, who is the Deputy Director of the Town of 1.3 Milford Water Utilities Department; to his right 14 is George Torres, who is the Controller and 15 Treasurer for Pennichuck, but, as of January 1, 16 he will be taking over the CFO responsibilities. 17 Thank you. 18 CMSR. SIMPSON: Thank you. New 19 Hampshire Department of Energy. 20 Thank you. Good morning. MS. AMIDON: 2.1 Suzanne Amidon, for the Department Water Group. To my left is Jayson Laflamme, who heads that 2.2 23 Water Group; and to his left is David Goyette, an 24 Analyst in that Division.

CMSR. SIMPSON: Thank you. So, we'll note that PWW filed an affidavit of publication on November 16th, 2022, with a screen shot of the notice that it posted on its website for the benefit of customers, pursuant to rule Puc 203.12(b) and (d).

2.

1.3

2.1

2.2

The Petition seeks approval of the termination of an existing 20-year special contract approved on February 6, 2003, by Order Number 24,122, in Docket Number DW 02-157, with automatic renewals of that contract through two 10-year extensions. The existing contract permits PWW to provide Milford with a second source of water supply, in the event that one of the Town's two wells becomes nonoperational or the well field becomes contaminated, resulting in the loss of both wells. The 2003 contract will expire on February 5th, 2023.

In its current Petition, PWW seeks approval to terminate the existing contract as scheduled in February of 2023, and approval to enter into a new contract for PWW to supply water to Milford for an initial term of five years, followed by three five-year automatic renewals.

1 We note that the Petition indicates that Attachment DLW-1 includes the new Milford 2. 3 cost of service study model that analyzed the 4 rates proposed in the new contract. 5 Are there any preliminary matters to 6 address at this time? I'll recognize the 7 Company. None, since there were no 8 MS. BROWN: 9 other intervenors. Thank you. 10 CMSR. SIMPSON: New Hampshire 11 Department of Energy? MS. AMIDON: And none for us either. 12 1.3 Thank you. 14 CMSR. SIMPSON: Thank you. I'll 15 recognize Commissioner Chattopadhyay for some 16 Commissioner questions. 17 CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: I really had just 18 one question. With this contract, can you tell me 19 20 what the impact will be on the other ratepayers 2.1 that PWW has? Can you just give me a description 2.2 of, you know, whether their rates will go up or 23 down in the future, whenever that becomes 24 relevant?

MS. BROWN: I was going to start off in saying that the rates are not going to go up because of this, because it's cost-based, per the cost of service study. There is a benefit down the road, when you have more customers to spread the costs around, then it helps mitigate or it helps minimize any future rate increases to the general-metered customers going forward.

2.

1.3

2.1

2.2

Don, did you want to elaborate on anything further?

MR. WARE: No, I think generally that covers it. As Marcia has indicated, Attorney Brown has indicated, the cost of service study was done to ensure an appropriate allocation that is, you know, both appropriate for both our ratepayers and for the special contract customer.

From a pure revenue perspective, again, as you look at it, what this brings to the table for Milford is certainty of supply. They're also guaranteeing, unlike the previous contract, to purchase a certain amount of water, which brings certainty of revenues to Pennichuck and coverage of that portion of Pennichuck's facilities that are necessary to serve the Town of Milford.

1 CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: So, let me put it 2 differently. I heard what Attorney Marcia said 3 but -- sorry, as far as the cost of service study 4 is concerned, I haven't dived into the details 5 What I'm asking is, with this study, does 6 that mean that some of the costs will be borne by 7 the other ratepayers? And, if the answer is "no", that's, you 8 9 know, just trying to confirm. 10 MR. WARE: Yes, the answer is "no". 11 CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: Okay. Thank you. 12 That's all I have. 1.3 CMSR. SIMPSON: The only question I had 14 was when did you conduct the new cost of service 15 study? 16 MR. WARE: The cost of service study 17 was done between the spring and this fall. And 18 it is based on the rates granted in Pennichuck 19 Water Works in DW 19-084. So, that's the cost 20 basis. And, you know, because that's the basis 2.1 of the last measurable cost and whatnot. 2.2 CMSR. SIMPSON: Okay. Thank you. 23 MS. BROWN: If I can also add to that 24 that, during discovery, especially in the Set 1

discovery of the Department of Energy, -
CMSR. SIMPSON: Uh-huh.

1.3

2.1

2.2

MS. BROWN: -- it was further refined.

And, you know, the Company appreciates the vetting, essentially auditing, that DOE does on these cost of service studies. So, it has gone through that.

And, so, even though the underlying data is as Mr. Ware indicated, it has been further tweaked. We caught a couple of, I don't know if that they're actually errors, but we've fine-tuned it, and I believe --

MR. GOODHUE: Refinements.

MS. BROWN: Mr. Goodhue has a better word, "refinements" of that cost of service study. So that I believe that the cost of service study that we will be ultimately attaching to the settlement agreement, which is due January 5th, will be the most up-to-date and it's the most accurate.

Thank you.

CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: Does the DOE have anything to add on that issue?

MS. AMIDON: Well, typically, at the

prehearing conference we give our position on the filing. And the Commission having approved the procedural schedule that was proposed a while back, we've completed discovery. And, at this point, we're having a technical session following this prehearing conference where we hope to discuss settlement.

2.

1.3

2.1

2.2

So, consistent with the schedule, as
Attorney Brown referenced, the proposed date for
filing the settlement is on January 5th, if
that's --

[Atty. Brown indicating in the affirmative.]

MS. AMIDON: That's correct. And, so, we're basically at the final stages of this docket.

The contract is expiring. The special conditions still exist to warrant the contract.

And I think that Attorney Brown also explained that, due to the greater volume that many customers are taking, the overall rate impact for the special contract is that the rate will go down. And there is no impact to the residential customers who take their service under a tariff

```
1
         rate.
                    So, we're just proceeding with trying
 2.
         to make sure that the settlement is consistent
 3
 4
         with the terms of the other agreements with the
 5
         various towns and entities that PWW has entered
 6
         into special contracts.
 7
                    CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: Thank you.
                                                       That
         was very helpful.
 8
 9
                    CMSR. SIMPSON: Anything else,
10
         Commissioner Chattopadhyay?
11
                    CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: No.
12
                    CMSR. SIMPSON: Is there anything else
1.3
         that we need to cover today from any of the
14
         parties?
15
                    MS. BROWN:
                                I just had some remarks to
         inform the Commission about.
16
17
                    CMSR. SIMPSON: Please.
18
                    MS. BROWN: I mean, thank you very much
19
         for, you know, the description that this is the
20
         third contract that's proposed among the --
2.1
         between the parties.
2.2
                    The need for or the justification for
23
         the deviation for the special contract from the
         general tariff schedules is articulated in the
24
```

Petition. It is also thoroughly articulated in Mr. Ware's testimony.

2.

1.3

2.1

2.2

And if I could just summarize those for the record: That the Town of Milford has its own storage. And, so, the storage is important, because it means that any of the peaking demand, there's less a strain on Pennichuck's system, which benefits everybody, because Milford has its own storage.

Milford has also, since the 1988 first contract, has invested significantly in capital. It ran a line from its system, in Milford, out to 101A, in Amherst, to an interconnection point, and built a booster station. Now, the booster station, I believe, has, you know, run its course, end-of-life. And, so, it is under construction of a new one. And, you know, here, talking with the Town representative here today, it's still in design phase. But that is another significant investment that general-metered customers don't do. So, it's a further justification for the deviation from the general tariffs.

The next point is that the Town of

Milford does have its own water supply. So, it could support itself without Pennichuck. But Pennichuck is a necessary backup. There is a benefit to the customers, because, if Pennichuck can secure a large user over a term of years, and know that those revenues are going to come in, that helps the small, you know, it helps the residentials, it helps the rest of the general-metered customers, because it's a benefit.

2.

1.3

2.1

2.2

Department of Energy/Pennichuck will be presenting a settlement to the Commission on January 5th, on or before. In the past, the Commission has either asked as a record request, we are going to, I'm letting you know now, that the settlement will include a live workbook of the cost of service study, just so that you don't have to issue a record request, unless you want it sooner than January 5th. And, because the formulas in that live cost of service study are proprietary, it will also be filing a motion for protective treatment to cover that live version.

There is a slight error in the Petition that I drafted, that was inconsistent with the

```
1
         testimony. And I just wanted to point that out,
 2.
         because, on Page 6 of Mr. Ware's testimony,
 3
         there's a very handy comparison chart. And,
 4
         under "Minimum Purchase", in the Petition I had
 5
         erroneously thought that there was a minimum
 6
         purchase; there is none under the second
 7
         contract. This third contract is going to have a
 8
         minimum. So, that's important for the revenues
         coming in, and the benefits that the rest of the
 9
         customers receive.
10
11
                    So, I just wanted to alert you of
12
         what's coming in the package for the settlement
1.3
         document. As Attorney Amidon stated, we have
14
         finished discovery, three rounds of discovery.
15
         And we'll be meeting to discuss settlement terms
16
         following this prehearing.
17
                    So, thank you.
18
                    CMSR. SIMPSON: Thank you. Anything
19
         else, Commissioner Chattopadhyay?
20
                    [Cmsr. Chattopadhyay indicating in the
2.1
                    negative.]
2.2
                    CMSR. SIMPSON: Last call, anyone have
23
         any other points?
24
                    [No verbal response.]
```

```
1
                    CMSR. SIMPSON: All right. Thank you,
          everyone. We're adjourned. Off the record.
 2
                     (Whereupon the prehearing conference
 3
                    was adjourned at 9:15 a.m., and a
 4
                    technical session was held
 5
                    thereafter.)
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```